

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

PLANNED PARENTHOOD ARIZONA, INC.,)
SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO)
PLANNED PARENTHOOD CENTER OF)
TUCSON, INC.; LAURA CONOVER,)
PIMA COUNTY ATTORNEY,)
Appellants,)
v.)
KRISTIN K MAYES, ATTORNEY)
GENERAL OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA,)
Appellee,)
and)
ERIC HAZELRIGG, M.D., AS)
GUARDIAN AD LITEM OF UNBORN)
CHILD OF PLAINTIFF JANE ROE AND)
ALL OTHER UNBORN INFANTS)
SIMILARLY SITUATED; DENNIS)
MCGRANE, YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY,)
Intervenors.)
_____)

Arizona Supreme Court
No. CV-23-0005-PR
Court of Appeals
Division Two
No. 2 CA-CV 22-0116
Pima County
Superior Court
No. C127867

FILED 11/30/2023

O R D E R

On November 22, 2023, I denied Appellant Planned Parenthood Arizona Inc's "Motion for Recusal" having determined that "an objective, disinterested observer would not entertain a significant doubt that justice will be done in this case." Judicial Ethics Advisory Committee Advisory Opinion 96-14 (Nov. 21, 1996). Regardless, there exists a continuing duty for a judicial officer to consider whether recusal may be necessary should a circumstance arise, "including but not limited to the . . . circumstances" set

Abigail Joy Mills
David J Euchner
Lauren Beall
Susan Caroline Salmon
Joy Herr-Cardillo
Orlando Economos
Benjamin Seel
Alexis E Danneman
Jean-Jacques Cabou
Sambo Dul
Neta Borshansky
Noah Gabrielsen
Bruce E Samuels
Lauren Ann Crawford
Hannah Dolski
Anita R Rocha
Timothy J Berg
Emily Ayn Ward
Christopher D Thomas
Karin Aldama
Kristine J Beaudoin
J Stanley Martineau
Samuel D Green
Steven H Aden
Alberto Rodriguez
Nicole Saharsky
Adriane Janet Hofmeyr
Hon. Robert Brutinel